

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LEE E. HAGGERTY,

Plaintiff,

v.

JEFF LYNCH, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:21-cv-1248 AC P

ORDER AND FINDINGS &
RECOMMENDATIONS


By order filed May 2, 2022, the complaint was screened and found to not state a claim for relief. ECF No. 7. Plaintiff was given thirty days to file an amended complaint and cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Id. at 6. After plaintiff failed to file an amended complaint, he was given an additional twenty-one days to amend the complaint and warned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed without further warning. ECF No. 10. Twenty-one days have now passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall randomly assign a United States District Judge to this action

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the complaint be dismissed for failure to state a claim for the reasons set forth in the May 2, 2022 Screening Order (ECF No. 7). See L.R. 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); 28 U.S.C. § 1915A.

1 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
2 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days
3 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections
4 with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
5 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that
6 failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District
7 Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

8 DATED: August 2, 2022

9 
10 ALLISON CLAIRE
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28